

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
No. 20-2025V

ANDREA NAVIS,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: February 12, 2024

Edward Kraus, Kraus Law Group, LLC, Chicago, IL, for Petitioner.

Nina Ren, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS¹

On December 29, 2020, Andrea Navis filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleged that she suffered a left shoulder injury related to vaccine administration a defined Table injury, after receiving the influenza vaccine on October 11, 2018. Petition, ECF No. 1. On June 26, 2023, I issued a decision awarding compensation to Petitioner based on the Respondent's proffer. ECF No. 44.

¹ Because this Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, requesting an award of \$19,220.79 (representing \$15,115.50 in fees plus \$93.27 in costs incurred by Petitioner's counsel of record, Edward Kraus and \$4,012.02 in fees and costs incurred by Petitioner's former counsel, Habush Habush & Rottier, S.C). Petitioner's Application for Fees and Costs ("Motion") filed Nov. 17, 2023, ECF No. 49. In accordance with General Order No. 9, counsel for Petitioner represents that Petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. *Id.* at 1.

Respondent reacted to the motion on Nov. 19, 2023, reporting that he is satisfied that the statutory requirements for an award of attorney's fees and costs are met in this case, but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded to my discretion. Respondent's Response to Motion at 2-3, ECF No. 50. Petitioner did not file a reply thereafter.

I have reviewed the billing records submitted with Petitioner's request. In my experience, the request appears reasonable, and I find no cause to reduce the requested hours or rates. Furthermore, Petitioner has provided supporting documentation for all claimed costs. Respondent offered no specific objection to the rates or amounts sought.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs for successful claimants. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT Petitioner's Motion for attorney's fees and costs. I award a total amount of **\$19,220.79** as follows:

- **A lump sum of \$15,208.77 (representing \$15,115.50 in fees plus \$93.27 in costs) in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel of record: Edward Kraus; and**
- **A lump sum of \$4,012.02 (representing \$2,985.00 in fees plus \$1,027.02 in costs) in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner's former counsel: Habush Habush & Rottier, S.C.**

In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment in accordance with this Decision.³

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran

Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master